December 2, 2020

Dear Members of the President Elect Biden USDA Transition Team,

On behalf of the Western Region Anti-Hunger Consortium (WRAHC) and its eighteen member organizations representing twelve states, we cordially offer this letter to outline the steps we would like the new federal administration to consider as it formulates hunger-relief policy for the nation.

We are always grateful for your leadership and for working closely with us to identify both challenges to be addressed and policies that most appropriately respond to the issue at hand. Our organizations are anti-hunger leaders in our communities, providing both direct emergency food relief, advocating for strong nutrition policies and connecting communities to invaluable state and federal programs and resources. We encourage you to share these recommendations with the Biden and Harris Transition Planning Team quickly.

About the Problem

One in seven people and one in five children live in poverty in California; in Washington, one in ten people, and one in eight children, live in poverty: the problem of poverty is widespread across the western region, even in states with some of the world's top billionaires. The detrimental and lifelong consequences of childhood poverty and resulting hunger are well documented.¹ According to the Harvard Center on the Developing Child, the toxic stress of living in poverty has negative, life-long impacts on a child's brain development. When children live in poverty, they endure hardships that impair their ability to thrive,² and it impacts their capacity to learn, develop, and thrive as children and throughout their lives.³ Children are less likely to succeed and thrive in school and at home, and poverty increases the likelihood that childhood impairments will result in adult dependency on safety net services.⁴ Ultimately, poverty damages a child's chance for economic security as an adult and fuels an intergenerational cycle of poverty: children who are born in poverty are three times as likely to be poor at age 40 than children not born in poverty.⁵

¹ See comprehensive summary in Western Center on Law & Poverty Background Paper Child Poverty & Hunger, March 2020. https://wclp.org/wp-

content/uploads/2020/03/WCLP Background ChildPovertyAndHunger March2020.pdf.

² "Family Poverty, Welfare Reform, and Child Development." Greg J. Duncan and Jeanne Brooks-Gunn Source: Child Development, Vol. 71, No. 1 (Jan. - Feb., 2000), pp. 188-196. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1132232.

³ Duncan, G and Magnuson, K. 2011. The Long Reach of Childhood Poverty. http://www.stanford.edu/group/scspi/ media/pdf/pathways/winter 2011/PathwaysWinter11 Duncan.pdf.

⁴ Barton, Paul E, and Richard J Coley. The Family: America's Smallest School. Policy Information Report, Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 2007, and Berliner, David C. Poverty and Potential: Out-of-School Factors and School Success. Boulder and Tempe: Education and the Public Interest Center & Education Policy Research Unit, 2009.

⁵ 12 Cuddy, E., Venator, J. and Reeves, R. 2015. In a land of dollars: Deep poverty and its consequences. Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/research/in-a-land-of-dollars-deep-poverty-and-itsconsequences/.

While childhood hunger has lifelong consequences, hunger affects more than just children: seniors, veterans, people with disabilities, LGBTQ people, and people in rural areas also experience high rates of food insecurity and hunger. The cost of not protecting people from hunger, and poverty in general, is significant; researchers have estimated that poverty currently costs the United States around \$800 billion to \$1.1 trillion a year. In California alone, a recent study estimates that California spends over \$7 billion annually in excess healthcare costs as a result of food insecurity – reducing hunger by even 1% would save California alone an estimated \$600 million annually.

Further analyses highlight the role systemic racism plays in driving poverty and hunger, particularly for households with children. The Census Household Pulse Survey reported that by late July, food insecurity among white households with children was 24.2%, but a shocking 37.2% for Latinx and 39.1% for African-American households with children.⁶ While there is no national data for Native American households, previous research in Washington, for example, showed food insecurity among Native households was 2.5 times higher than white households. These long-standing racial disparities in hunger have only been exacerbated by COVID-19 related disparities. With COVID-19 spiking and states forced to undertake new precautions, food insecurity will remain high, driven by record unemployment and related economic hardships.

Hunger is both one of the most consequential outcomes of poverty and also one of the easiest to address, especially considering that America (especially the West) is the food basket for the world. Yet hunger and food insecurity has been persistently high, especially among families with children. What is worse, the COVID-19 public health and economic crises have dramatically increased the incidences of hunger. ⁷ By late October, Census Household Pulse Survey data shows that food insecurity, among all households was greater than 20%, and was nearly 30% among households with children. ⁸ Analysis of the national COVID-19 Impact Survey revealed that for households with children, food insecurity roughly tripled from 11.6% to 34.5%. Truly astonishing, however, is the rise in child hunger, not just household-level hunger. Prior to the pandemic, less than 1% of households indicated that their children experienced "very low food security." As of October that had risen to nearly 16%, a rate that has barely changed since the March onset of the crisis. ⁹

⁶ Schanzenbach, D. and Tomeh N. Visualizing State Food Insecurity. Northwestern University Institute of Policy Research. 2020. https://www.ipr.northwestern.edu/state-food-insecurity.html.

⁷ Brookings Institute. 2020. https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/05/06/the-COVID-19-crisis-has-already-left-too-many-children-hungry-in-america/

⁸ Bauer, L. 2020. Hungry at Thanksgiving: A Fall 2020 Update on Food Insecurity in the U.S. The Hamilton Project, Brookings Institution.

https://www.hamiltonproject.org/blog/hungry at thanksgiving a fall 2020 update on food insecurity in the u.s.

⁹ Bauer, L. 2020. Hungry at Thanksgiving: A Fall 2020 Update on Food Insecurity in the U.S. The Hamilton Project, Brookings Institution.

https://www.hamiltonproject.org/blog/hungry at thanksgiving a fall 2020 update on food insecurity in the u.s.

We understand that economic challenges will continue long after the country successfully brings the COVID-19 public health crisis to an end, so it is important to note that our recommendations are based on the understanding that investments in low-income communities both prevent harm to people and are key to getting the economy working again by generating economic activity¹⁰ and state and local tax revenue.

Our Requests

First, we hope the Biden-Harris Administration will consider a plan that endeavors to end hunger, not just make it more tolerable for those who experience it, and that the administration will aim to do so in ways that prioritize human dignity. The signatories on this letter are using the following principles in guiding our recommendations. We believe that the new administration should seek to reduce hunger by supporting policies which:

- Are informed by people with low-incomes who are given the opportunity to participate in the policy making process;
- Prioritize reduction in poverty and inequality; and,
- Center race equity and inclusion as goals for prioritizing policies, ensuring that policy solutions that will impact those groups of Americans with the highest rates of hunger are prioritized.

With these principles guiding our recommendations, we have developed a list of policies that we would like the Biden-Harris Administration to consider when developing their 2021 priorities and throughout their Administration.

Finally, we encourage the new Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and their team to conduct a food insecurity and nutrition listening tour in early 2021 to solicit input from key stakeholders and hunger-impacted communities directly. The strong relationships between these communities and the USDA have been frayed over the past four years and work will need to be done to reorient the community to a new, more accessible USDA leadership and get their insight into how things have changed since the COVID-19 crisis and which adjustments are needed or could be made to more swiftly reduce, and eventually eliminate, hunger in America.

Policies for Consideration in 2021

End Xenophobic Immigration Policies that Impact Access to the Social Safety Net

- Immediately take executive action to rescind the <u>Final Rule on Public Charge Grounds on Inadmissibility</u> which is nothing more than a wealth test for immigrants seeking to secure their <u>Permanent Resident Card (PDF, 6.77 MB)</u>, or "Green Card."
- Immediately halt <u>all interruptions in the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA)</u>
 Program which would prevent DACA recipients from being legally employed and, since

¹⁰ The Economic Impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, Zande, Mark, Moody's, January 21, 2009

- they are not eligible for the social safety-net programs, remove what may be their only way to prevent hunger, through wages; and,
- Invite legislation to <u>remove the SNAP 5-year waiting period for legal permanent resident</u> <u>adults</u> and expand the definition of legally-present immigrants who can qualify for SNAP and other federal benefits (e.g. DACA, U Visa, and Temporary Protected Status).

End Trump Administration Attacks on SNAP

- Recall the <u>SNAP Able Bodied Adult Without Dependent (ABAWD) Time Limit Final Rule</u> and no longer allow federal attorneys to defend against <u>D.C. v USDA</u>;
- Recall the <u>SNAP Categorical Eligibility Proposed Rule</u>; and,
- Recall the <u>SNAP Standardization of State Heating and Cooling Standard Utility Allowances</u> Proposed Rule.

Increase the Benefits Issued Through SNAP

- Immediately revise the <u>guidance on SNAP Emergency Allotments (EO)</u> authorized by the Families First Act to comply with <u>Gilliam v. USDA</u> and allow all states to benefit from the correct interpretation of the Act and <u>allow for the poorest Americans to receive</u> these emergency allotments (See also: the 9th District Court on the same topic <u>Hall v. USDA</u>);
- Invite legislation to increase minimum and maximum benefit amounts in SNAP during the COVID-19 public health crisis as proposed by the first and the second <u>HEROES Act passed</u> <u>by the U.S. House of Representatives</u> and then <u>permanently adopt the USDA Low-Cost</u> <u>Food Plan, instead of the Thrifty Food Plan</u>, as proposed in the <u>Close the Meal Gap Act</u> introduced by Rep. Alma Adams in the U.S. House of Representatives and Senator and Vice-President Elect Kamala Harris in the Senate;
- Use all available authority to ensure that the Thrifty Food Plan methodology research called for in the 2018 Farm Bill gets carried out quickly, and in a transparent and objective way;
- Use all available authority to continue, strengthen and provide for the appropriate scaling
 of the Double Up Bucks program to support improved nutrition and empower people to
 make healthier choices;
- Invite legislation, as was proposed by the <u>Closing the Meal Gap Act of 2019 (H.R. 1368)</u> sponsored by <u>Rep. Alma Adams</u> and <u>112 House Co-sponsors</u> to remove the cap on the SNAP Excess Shelter Cost Deduction, which is currently limited \$586 unless one person in the household is elderly or disabled, to adequately respond to the high cost of living in the West; and,
- Immediately reverse the decision (which was reversed from the prior interpretation of 7 CFR § 273.9(vii)) that a government-issued housing stipend or allowance paid to a recipient must count as income in SNAP. This would help military families, former foster

youth, veterans and others who receive housing stipends directly then pay them toward verified rent due, in order to save government programs the additional workload of setting up a vendor payment. It would also give governments more ability to help Americans weather the COVID-19 eviction crisis and the ever-increasing homelessness crisis without undermining food security of people already facing homelessness. Here <u>is a copy of the letter</u> from California's implementation of the new policy in 2011 and correcting their prior policy (based on USDA guidance) from 2003.

Take Bold Action Against College Student Hunger

- Immediately use the administration's statutory authority to deem community college students exempt from the <u>SNAP student rules</u> as follows:
 - (e) Students. No individual who is a member of a <u>household</u> otherwise eligible to participate in the <u>supplemental nutrition assistance program</u> under this section shall be eligible to participate in the <u>supplemental nutrition assistance program</u> as a member of that or any other <u>household</u> if the individual is enrolled at least half-time in an institution of higher education, unless the individual— (3) is assigned to or placed in an institution of higher education through or in compliance with the requirements of— (D) another program for the purpose of employment and training operated by a State or local government, as determined to be appropriate by the Secretary.
- Immediately seek to secure relief, through waiver of the <u>SNAP student rule</u> as <u>requested</u> by Washington and California but denied by the Trump Administration (USDA <u>Received</u> California's request on 3/26/2020 | <u>Mass Denial Issued</u> 4/10/2020) or through legislation as proposed by the <u>Emergency EATS Act introduced by Reps. Jimmy Panetta (D-CA) and Jimmy Gomez (D-CA), for college students unable to meet the work requirement as a result of the COVID-19 Public Health Crisis and, for the longer term, invite legislation that would end work-based eligibility requirements for low-income college students as proposed in H.R. 6565 by Representative Jimmy Gomez (D-CA).</u>
- Invite demonstration projects and waivers that would improve access to SNAP for college students which could include:
 - Direct certification for FAFSA applicants with a parental contribution below the SNAP gross income threshold for the first semester of college;
 - Piloting warm handoffs for recent high school graduates who were receiving TANF or SNAP while in high school to assist them in applying for SNAP once they enter college; or,
 - Support projects connecting SNAP to state financial aid offices.
- Allow states to waive SNAP stocking requirements at campus stores to facilitate more certification of on-campus food retailers to accept EBT;

- Immediately allow SNAP E&T programs that enroll people in college-based programs that
 result in certificates or degrees to use a 1:1 match rate to draw down federal funds;
 (currently \$1 in local SNAP E&T funds draws down \$.50 in federal funds);
- Identify funding to place one point of contact at each USDA Regional Office as an expert on college student SNAP participation to reduce barriers and under—enrollment of this population (similar to the Obama Administration for SNAP E&T);
- Immediately identify staff and create a unit that has the sole function of inviting and timely facilitation of EBT applications and Restaurant Meal Program (RMP) applications from colleges and universities;
- Establish a workgroup between the Department of Education and the USDA to address college student hunger;
- Seek funding to support 100% SNAP outreach grants for college campuses that implement an outreach plan through the creation of on-campus, work-study jobs;
- Work with the Administration's Higher Education leaders to establish a "meal plan" benefit that would ensure that all Pell Grant-eligible students also receive a meal plan worth 10 meals per week for on-campus eating as was proposed by California State Senator Stern or start with a targeted group of people, like former foster youth, as has been proposed in by California Assembly Member Buffy Wicks (D-Richmond); and,
- Invite legislation to add "college students," to the list of people who can purchase a prepared meal on a college campus that accepts EBT.

End the Eat-For-Work Policies in SNAP

- Invite legislation to eliminate "work for food" SNAP rules, including the three-month time limit for childless adults ages 18-50 <u>as proposed in H.R. 2809 by Congresswoman Barbara Lee;</u>
- Invite legislation that would end mandatory Employment and Training (E&T) SNAP sanctions on individuals and complementary program sanctions on SNAP benefits for families enrolled in Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF); adopt administrative policies that require states to prove that all E&T programs and SNAP complementary program sanctions are free of racial bias and that they are achieving the central goal of the program to reduce hunger; and,
- Immediately seek to secure relief, through waiver of the <u>SNAP Student Rule</u> as <u>requested</u> by <u>Washington and California but denied by the Trump Administration</u> (USDA <u>Received</u> California's request on 3/26/2020 | <u>Mass Denial Issued</u> 4/10/2020) or through legislation as proposed by the <u>Emergency EATS Act introduced by Reps. Jimmy Panetta (D-CA) and Jimmy Gomez (D-CA),</u> for college students unable to meet the work requirement as a result of the COVID-19 public health crisis and, for the longer term, invite legislation that would end work-based eligibility requirements for low-income college students as proposed in <u>H.R. 6565 by Representative Jimmy Gomez (D-CA).</u>

Aim to End Child Hunger by Significantly Improving School Meals & Child Nutrition

- Immediately repeal and replace the <u>Pandemic-EBT guidance issued by the USDA</u> to implement the <u>Continuing Appropriations Act of 2021 and Other Extensions Act (P.L. 116-159)</u> to allow for ease of implementation to: (a) assume <u>all SNAP</u> eligible households with children under six are missing pre-school or day-care meals and allow states to serve them through the program; (b) allowing assumptions about interruptions in on-campus meal service based on maps of COVID-19 Public Health community closures; and, (c) allow states to issue P-EBT benefits to school-age children who were eligible for benefits for school year 2019-20 but have been denied under current guidance because they missed their states' application deadline of September 30 or earlier.
- Seek funding to enact school nutrition funding provisions introduced in the first and second HEROES Act that would direct COVID relief funds specifically to school nutrition programs which would not be based on per-meal reimbursements this school year, but based on 2019-20 claiming rates, which would enable districts to purchase needed packaging, reimburse for transportation costs this year, and improve meal quality even in this period of lower participation rates than typical school years;
- Use all authority to restore Summer Lunch EBT to geographies where it was discontinued during the Trump Administration and to establish this important Summer Meal benefit in new areas;
- Immediately pursue appropriations to increase the value of federal reimbursement to school districts for school breakfasts and lunch, summer meals, child care meals, and after school programs to respond to the COVID-19 crisis and, in the more long term, to allow nutrition quality to reflect evidence-based standards;
- Invite legislation that would allow for national Summer Meals EBT and that would fund
 the establishment of a Better Out-Of-School Time (BOOST) EBT program which would
 provide an EBT card for days in which a school is closed or for prolonged campus closure
 due to declared disaster or emergency, and consider including days that students miss
 school for personal reasons or as a result of a suspension or expulsion;
- Invite legislation to enact universal free meals for school age children <u>beyond the 2020-2021 school year</u> through the School Breakfast Program (SBP) and the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). Until then, we ask that you:
 - Immediately use all authority to stop collection and expunge all school meal debt during the COVID-19 p ublic h ealth c risis or invite legislation that would achieve this goal as was proposed by the <u>Suspend School Meal Debt Act</u> introduced by Representatives Omar (D-MN) and Holland (D-NM);
 - Immediately restore the option for parents to apply for their child to receive free or reduced priced meals without asking for their Social Security Number (SSN) on the application;
 - Immediately pursue policy clarifications that would allow for the retroactive approval of school meals for children whose parents can prove that they were

eligible prior to their application for the months that they can prove eligibility and invite legislation, as was proposed in the The No Shame at School Act of 2019 (S. 1907/H.R. 3366), introduced by Senator Tina Smith (D-MN) and Representative Omar (D-MN), to achieve that goal if it is determined necessary; and,

- Invite legislation to end the reduced price category and provide children, who would otherwise fall into this category with free meals. The reduced price category adds wasteful bureaucracy to the program and undermines the program's goals.
- Immediately revise the Unpaid School Meal guidance issued following a workgroup and Report to Congress required by the Healthy, Hunger Free Kids Act (Public Law 111-296) and all relevant manuals and instructions in order to pivot the guidance away from supporting "best practices" to prevent lunch shaming and toward banning lunch shaming practices altogether as California, Washington, Oregon and other states in the West have already started to do. If legislation is necessary, then the Administration should invite passage of the Anti-Lunch Shaming Act that has been introduced by Reps. Michelle Lujan Grisham (D-NM), Rosa DeLauro (D-Conn.). While pursuing this, the Administration could minimally make the unpaid school meal plans already required to be provided to the USDA by Local Educational Entities (LEA) during the 3-year reviews required to be made public by the LEAs online and provided with all school meal applications;
- Immediately investigate whether juvenile justice institutions reimbursed for free and reduced price meals are also charging families of youth for the costs of the same meals (<u>as was the case in California</u> prior to the elimination of the Juvenile Fees with the passage of state law SB 190 and <u>SB 1290 which ended juvenile fees altogether</u>);
- Immediately review for-profit online school meal application services to ensure that they are not requiring registration or disclosure of non-mandatory private information on school meal applications or the waiver of rights in order to finalize submission. Among others, these application services include:

https://mealapps.ousd.k12.ca.us/\

https://www.heartlandapps.com/Terms.aspx?apply=1

http://schoollunchapp.com/Terms.aspx?apply=1

https://ezmealapp.com/PrivacyPolicy.aspx

https://www.myschoolapps.com/Application

https://www.lunchapplication.com/AppStart.aspx

http://lunchapp.com/TermsOfUse

Invite legislation that would streamline sponsorship by community-based (i.e. non-school) sponsors of out-of-school meal programs year-round using the Summer Food Service Program, as was proposed by the <u>bipartisan Summer Meals Act</u> (Reps. Young, AK and Larsen, WA), rather than requiring providers to alternate between the Summer Meals program and the Child and Adult Care Food Program to feed the same children, depending on the season;

- Invite legislation that will allow for reduced paperwork burden to certify for free or reduced price meals through the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) by expanding the use of direct certification of children using various means-tested programs; and,
- Provide universal access to WIC to increase access to breastfeeding support and healthy foods during the critical prenatal through early childhood period;
- Invite legislation like the <u>Wise Investment in Children (WIC) Act</u> that extends eligibility for WIC to age six for children not enrolled in school, and extends certification periods for infants and mothers to ensure they get the nutrition they need as their bodies change and grow;
- Use existing administrative authority to fully implement the <u>National Academy of Science</u>, <u>Engineering and Medicine (NASEM) recommendations for the WIC food package</u> <u>published in 2017</u> which include increasing the fresh fruit and vegetable benefit in the Women, Infant and Children (WIC) food package;
- Make a child's eligibility for Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) benefits easier to document and explore available options to increase the use of direct certification for the program;
- Implement paperwork reduction modifications outlined by the <u>Child and Adult Care Food</u>
 <u>Program Paperwork Reduction Work Group</u> which will ease administration and encourage
 greater participation in the program; and,
- Make improvements to the serious deficiency process for child care providers who participate in the CACFP and make the determination appealable.

End Hardship Caused by Overly Aggressive and Questionably Legal SNAP Overissuance Collections:

- Immediately approve of waiver requests from states requesting that overissuances caused by errors that flow out of rapidly implemented COVID-19 be disregarded;
- Immediately approve of waiver requests from states allowing them to <u>exclude Pandemic</u>
 <u>Unemployment Compensation as income in SNAP</u> (California's request was
 <u>Received</u> 3/26/2020 and <u>Denied</u> 4/17/2020) as the chaotic PUC distribution will have
 impacts on overissuance claims for years to come;
- Encourage states to implement equitable claims policies that do not pursue overissuances that were the fault of the state agency and do not push low-income households deeper into poverty, starting with households who have a disabled or elderly member first (note that MA & CA have this process in place);
- Use administrative action to put an end to states seeking double prosecution of SNAP overissuances in civil administrative procedure and in criminal court (see: Federal Code of Regulations Section 273.16); and,
- Take administrative action to require states to adequately calculate the cost of collections and update their annual SNAP plans to reflect an overissuance collection threshold so that

no state has a state plan approved with an overissuance collection threshold that is cost ineffective (<u>CA</u>, CO & MA have developed models for this calculation).

End Rules that Keep SNAP Anti-Hunger Help from Vulnerable Populations

- Invite legislation to end the lifetime ban on people with prior drug felony convictions (Removing Barriers to Basic Needs Act H.R. 7916);
- Use existing authority or invite legislation to end the ban that disallows receipt of SNAP AND The Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR);
- Invite legislation to end the Immigrant exclusions enacted in PROWRA; and,
- Use existing authority to allow veterans who have applied while pending an application for service-connected disability qualify for SNAP under the special rules for people with a disability until or unless their claim has been denied as was proposed in the <u>Disabled</u> Veteran Nutrition Fairness Act by Rep. Jackie Speier (D-CA).

Improving Emergency Food Distribution

- SNAP and the public nutrition programs remain our best defense against hunger <u>SNAP</u> alone delivers at least 9 meals for every 1 distributed by charitable providers.
 Nevertheless, because current benefit levels are inadequate, <u>nearly 1 in 3 SNAP</u> households still sought emergency food sources prior to COVID, and <u>millions more Americans continue to rely on food banks to eat</u>, stay healthy, and survive this crisis;
- Take all actions to prevent the looming federal food cliff of the anticipated loss of 50% or more of USDA foods, to the charitable food assistance network beginning January 2021:
 - Take immediate action by using available funding to make Section 32 purchases to continue food access at current levels provided in CY21, but distribute all foods through The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP) to ensure equitable access and civil rights protections nationwide;
 - Use Commodity Credit Corporation or Section 32 to establish a more robust food assistance program to help with longer term need, along with a SNAP increase and continued child nutrition programs;
 - O In all such actions, tighten requirements for awardees to contract with local and regional producers to improve transparency for contractors, and enable access for socially disadvantaged and other producers who have never partaken in a USDA procurement program. Additionally, ensure awardees' distribution plans are targeted to ensure food is reaching communities and populations where hunger is greatest, using proven equitable formulas such as in TEFAP; and,
 - In addition, invite legislation to provide additional food as well as storage and distribution costs through TEFAP, CSFP, and other commodity programs similar to the FFCRA and CARES Act.

- Take actions critical to maintaining the health and safety of food bank staff, volunteers, and the people they serve, including:
 - Continue guidance in TEFAP and other programs allowing food bank representatives to record self-attestation and signatures, to prevent sharing pens as COVID vector;
 - Immediately reverse guidance in the Commodity Supplemental Food Program preventing food banks from having flexibility with cheese in the food package that has stopped home deliveries and plummeted senior access by 30%;
 - Immediately revise the Federal Emergency Management Authority guidance that emergency food organizations can only be reimbursed for COVID-related food provided to those over 200% of the federal poverty limit that has undermined the ability of states to feed those hurt most by the pandemic, and led to the perverse outcome that those with higher incomes are served through FEMA Public Assistance; and,
 - o Immediately extend the National Guard mission, with 100% federal funding for all states, through the end of the pandemic to replace the dramatic loss of volunteers and staff at emergency food providers who continue to face record demand.
- Invite legislation that would expand existing partnerships between agricultural providers
 and the emergency food system as proposed by the Farm to Food Bank Enhancement Act
 introduced by Rep. Jimmy Panetta (CA) and Rep. Fudge, the <u>Farmers Feeding Families</u>
 <u>Coronavirus Response Act</u> by Rep. Kim Schrier (WA) and Sen. Bob Casey in the Senate, as
 well as the Food Bank Access to Farm Fresh Produce Act by Senator Gilibrand;
- Invite legislation to bolster the capacity of food banks to meet the elevated need for food
 while facing soaring operational costs, as well as enable small scale producers to retool,
 through the Food Supply Protection Act by Ranking Member Stabenow and her Senate
 Agriculture Committee colleagues; and,
- Invite legislation to align TEFAP commodities for food distribution with TEFAP commodities for meal programs, ending the requirement for food distribution to collect names, addresses, and signatures of recipients. This aligns with the value of dignity in food distribution.

Ending Hunger Among Elderly Americans

- Provide blanket authority for the Elderly Simplified Application Project and Standard Medical Deductions in SNAP waivers;
- Because it is primarily seniors and people with disabilities who receive the minimum SNAP benefit, increase this benefit level from \$16 to \$30;
- Work with stakeholders to develop cross-agency partnerships to address gaps in food assistance programs for seniors <u>identified in the West</u> and across the country;
- Take steps to better disseminate existing information that could help state and local entities involved in providing CACFP meals meet the complex nutritional needs of older

- adult participants, as well as continue to identify additional promising practices or other information on meal accommodations to share with CACFP entities; and,
- With the Social Security Administration, reopen states' ability to submit Combined Application Projects that are <u>proven to provide superior food access for older adults and people with disabilities</u>, and streamline administration for states.

Expand SNAP Consumer Options

- Immediately remove pilot status of <u>online EBT ordering</u> and assign staff to make it possible for SNAP recipients to make purchases from more local grocers and farmers;
- Immediately, using emergency waiver authority, eliminate the "hot food" restriction in SNAP food purchases at participating grocery stores and expand access to the SNAP Restaurant Meal Program (RMP) option to more states. Then act to expand the RMP nationwide by issuing a USDA-approved standard Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for states to use, allowing approval for multiple locations if they are owned by the same company or franchise, and allowing for targeting specific retail environments (e.g. college cafeterias, senior meal programs with fees, etc.);
- Consider matching state funds used to reimburse SNAP households with an elderly or disabled member or a young child for the delivery costs of food purchased online;
- Support expansion of EBT use on college campuses;
- Make it clear that the USDA will <u>not</u> accept any <u>proposed waivers or rule or statute</u> changes that would allow for a limiting of food choice in SNAP; and,
- Fully staff the USDA's EBT office to ensure timely approval of new EBT retailers and (RMP) applications and assign regional staff to make these approvals in order to facilitate speedy approvals. Invite legislation that would improve access to RMP during a disaster as proposed by <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/jhp.1007/jhp.

This document was prepared by: Jessica Bartholow, Western Center on Law and Poverty; Claire Lane, Anti-Hunger & Nutrition Coalition (WA); Andrew Cheyne and Becky Gershon, California Association of Food Banks; Melissa Cannon, Jared Call & George Manalo LeClaire, Nourish California; Cara Durr, The Food Bank of Alaska; Annie Kirschner & Chris Baker, Partners for a Hunger Free Oregon; Angie Rodgers, Arizona Food Bank Network; Matt Newell Ching, Oregon Food Bank; Christina Wong, Northwest Harvest; Shane Piccinini, Food Bank of Northern Nevada; Nichole Woo, Hawaii Appleseed; and, Aaron Czyzewski, Food Lifeline.